

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Construction Services

Member: John R. Smith
828-5220

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: April 10, 2001

Comments:

1. Is this a commercial parking lot? If so, provide accessibility features.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Engineering

Member: Tim Welch
828-5123

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: 4/10/01

Comments:

Comments will be available at the DRC Meeting.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Fire

Member: Albert Weber
828-5875

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: 4-10-01

Comments:

N/C

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Info. Systems

Member: Mark Pallans (GRG)
828-5790

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: April 10, 2001

Comments:

No apparent interference will result from this plan at this time.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Landscape

Member: Dave Gennaro
761-5200

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: 4/10/01

Comments:

1. Sec. 47-21.10 of the U.L.D.R. requires that 35% of the gross lot square footage to be provided as landscape. Sheet A-1 indicates that there is only 14% site pervious area.
2. Make sure that trees have at least an 8' wide base planting area.
3. Show any existing trees or palms on property. All "Tree Preservation Ordinance" requirements apply, including those relating to the preservation of existing "large desirable trees".
4. "Tree mix" requirements do not appear to be met. 20% of the total number of trees need to be flowering trees.
5. Indicate any utilities (such as overhead powerlines) that would affect proposed planting on the landscape plan.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Planning

Member: Lois Udvardy
828-5862

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: April 10, 2001

Site Plan Review/Parking Lot/R-O

Comments:

1. Field visit indicates south half of Lots 22 and 23 is being used for parking and business purposes for Kel Auto Air Conditioning at 2010 S. Andrews Ave. This is not a permitted use in R-O. If the use is not permitted in R-O then the parking is not permitted for that use.
2. Survey does not appear to be current. Concrete fence and wood shed do not exist and four parking spaces in front of duplex and large paved area are not indicated on survey.
3. Is floor plan for duplex current?
4. Discuss with Zoning representative the necessity for 9 parking spaces for duplex.
5. Additional comments may be forthcoming at DRC meeting.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Plumbing

Member: Ted DeSmith
828-5232

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: April 1, 2001

Comments:

1. Provide site plan showing storm water retention and provide related storm water calculations.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Police

Member: Robert Dodder
759-6421
Beeper 497-0628

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: 4-10-01

Comments:

If the fence is intended for security, chain-link is not effective for security. A climb resistant fencer is suggested.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Zoning

Member: Terry Burgess
828-5913

Project Name: Marlene Prosje

Case #: 27-R-01

Date: 4/10/01

Comments:

1. Is the proposed parking lot for the duplex or another site?
2. Provide a photometric lighting plan in accordance with section 47-20.14 prior to final DRC review.
3. Additional comments may be discussed at DRC meeting.